## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  To:				POREC'D 06 MAY 2005		
					PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) so	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/IL2004/001168			International filing date (d	lay/month/year)	Priority date (dayimonthiyear) 15.01.2004	
Inter	mational Patent Class	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	<u> </u>	
Go	6T17/50, G06T5/	00				
	licant GOTEC SYSTEM	IS LTD.				
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicat	ions relating to the folk	owing items:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the o		_		
	Box No. II	Priority	Piriloit			
	Box No. ill	•	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity			•	
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned sta		:.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docur	nents cited		-	
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defec	ts in the international app	lication		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internation	nal application		
2.	FURTHER ACT	TON				
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	submit to the IP	EA a written repeated a written written repeated a	oly together, where appro	priate, with amendo	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to nents, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,	
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	For further deta	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Nar	ne and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	Allert Patrice	
<u>-</u>		Patent Office		Llower !		
	D-80298   Tel. +49 8	Munich 39 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	23656 epmu d	Herter, J		
		89 2399 - 4465	<u> </u>	Telephone No. +49	89 2399-7478	



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/001168

В	ox N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and response to the purpose of the purpose				
2. V n	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a	a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
C	e of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3. [	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.				

4. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-33

No:

No:

Claims

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-33

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-33

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: US 2002/100009 A1 (Xing et al.) 25 July 2002
  - D2: Sethian: "Evolution, implementation, and application of level set and fast marching methods for advancing fronts" Journal of Computational Physics Academic Press USA, vol. 169, no. 2, 20 May 2001, pages 503-555
  - D3: Deschamps et al.: "Fast extraction of minimal paths in 3D images and applications to virtual endoscopy" Medical Image Analysis, Oxford University Press, Oxford, GB, vol. 5, 2001, pages 281-299, cited in the application
- 2. <u>Item V:</u> Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 2.1 The present application meets the requirements of Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT because the subject matter of claim 1 appears to be novel and inventive, the reasons being as follows:

## As to claim 1:

D1 discloses:

- A method of finding a path from a start point to a target point, in multi-dimensional space (see abstract, lines 1-4 and page 1, paragraph [0012]), comprising:
- (a) determining a plurality of points in a physical space, including a start point and an target point (see page 1, paragraph [0012] and page 3, paragraph [0049]);
- (b) computing, using a cost function, for said points an accumulated path cost from the start point to a point; representing a minimal cost path from the start point to the point with respect to an optimization criteria (see page 1, paragraph [0014] and page 3, paragraphs [0056]-[0057]);
- © computing for at least some of said points an estimated-cost-to-target from a point to the target point (see page 3, paragraphs [0056]-[0057] supported by page 5, paragraphs [0068]-[0073] and figures 22 and 23); and
- wherein the determination is based on said accumulated path costs, and is substantially minimal with respect to the optimization criteria (see page 3, paragraph [0057]).

D1 however fails to disclose:

- (d) after computing said costs, determining at least one of a minimal path or a minimal path cost of a path from the start point to the target point in the physical space.

The system of D1 determines the minimal path in a tile graph (see page 3, paragraphs [0053]-[0057]) and not in the physical space.

An inventive step can be acknowledged.

- 2.2 The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2.3 The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 2.4 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.